

Table 4.4 Subwatershed Characteristics

Sub-watershed	Area (mi ²)	Current Impervious Cover (%)	Future Impervious Cover (%)	Channel Length (miles)	Priority I Reaches (%)	Piped Stream (%)	Outfalls per mi ² (no.)	Stormwater Facilities (no.)	Forest (%)	Roads (acres, %)	Macro-invertebrates Rating	Management Classification
LK	0.44	10%	25-40%	1.5	0%	21%	2	1	69%	6, 2.2	Good	Protection
PCN (Upper & Lower)	7.38	18%	30-42%	20.9	5%	5%	9	19	52%	317, 25.7*	Good-Fair	Protection
BR	3.49	21%	30-42%	7.2	6%	7%	7	21	43%	135, 6.1	Good-Fair	Protection
MT	1.15	21%	23-38%	4.0	0%	19%	12	5	55%	41, 5.6	Good-Fair	Protection
LT	3.06	25%	26-42%	8.1	16%	8%	7	6	30%	151, 7.5	Good-Fair	Protection
AR	3.78	30%	44-50%	12.3	16%	15%	19	7	25%	121, 5.1	Fair-poor	Rehabilitation
DT	1.38	35%	46-56%	4.5	30%	18%	38	12	23%	81, 9.8	Fair	Rehabilitation
PT	1.30	36%	39-52%	3.5	14%	9%	12	2	25%	62, 7.3	Fair	Rehabilitation
WL	0.82	38%	36-41%	0.92	14%	49%	16	1	24%	38, 7.3	Good-poor	Rehabilitation
PC	4.63	56%	58-63%	8.2	40%	20%	35	2	13%	250, 8.3	Poor	Enhancement

Notes: Subwatershed acronyms: LK, Lucknow; PCN, Upper and Lower Paxton Creek North; BR, Black Run; MT, Mountindale; LT, Linglestown; AR, Asylum Run; DT, Devonshire; PT, Paxtonia; PC, Paxton Creek main stem. Priority I reaches, those most degraded. *Road area includes I-81 crossing.

Adapted from CWP, 2003